## On Differences between Fractional and Integer Order Differential Equations for Dynamical Games

Elsayed M. E. Ahmeda, Ahmed S. Elgazzarb, and Mohamed I. Shehata

Reprint requests to E. M. E. A.; E-mail: magd45@yahoo.com

Z. Naturforsch. 63a, 152-154 (2008); received October 1, 2007

We argue that fractional order differential equations are more suitable to model complex adaptive systems. Hence they are applied in replicator equations for non-cooperative games. Rock-scissors-paper game is discussed. It is known that its integer order model does not have a stable equilibrium. Its fractional order model is shown to have a locally asymptotically stable internal solution. A fractional order asymmetric game is shown to have a locally asymptotically stable internal solution. This is not the case for its integer order counterpart.

Key words: Fractional Order; Non-Cooperative Game.

## 1. Fractional Order Equations

**Definition 1:** A complex adaptive system (CAS) with emergence consists of interacting adaptive agents, where the properties of the system as a whole do not exist for the individual elements (agents) and are not caused by external effects [1].

**Definition 2:** An emergent property of a CAS is a property of the system as a whole which does not exist at the individual elements (agents) level.

Typical examples are the brain, the immune system, the economy, social systems, ecology, insect swarms. To understand a complex system one has to study the system as a whole and not to decompose it into its constituents. This totalistic approach is against the standard reductionistic one, which tries to decompose any system into its constituents and hopes that by understanding the elements one can understand the whole system. Since this is quite difficult, mathematical and computer models may be helpful in studying such systems.

Recently [2] it became apparent that fractional equations naturally represent systems with memory. To see this, consider the following evolution equation:

$$df(t)/d = \lambda^2 \int_0^t k(t - t') f(t') dt'.$$
 (1)

If the system has no memory then  $k(t-t') = \delta(t-t')$ , and one gets  $f(t) = f_0 \exp(-\lambda^2 t)$ . If the system has

an ideal memory, then  $k(t-t')=\{1, \text{ if } t \geq t'; 0, \text{ if } t < t'\}$ , hence  $f \approx f_0 \cos \lambda t$ . Using the Laplace transform  $L[f]=\int_0^\infty f(t) \exp(-st) \mathrm{d}t$  one gets L[f]=1, if there is no memory, and 1/s, if there is ideal memory, hence the case of non-ideal memory is expected to be given by  $L[f]=1/s^\alpha$ ,  $0<\alpha<1$ . In this case (1) becomes the fractional order differential equation

$$D^{\alpha}f(t) = \int_{0}^{t} (t - t')^{\alpha - 1} f(t') dt / \Gamma(\alpha),$$

where  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is the gamma function. This system has the solution

$$f(t) = f_0 E_{\alpha+1}(-\lambda^2 t^{\alpha+1}),$$

where  $E_{\alpha}(z)$  is the Mittag-Leffler function given by

$$E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{k} / \Gamma(\alpha k + 1).$$

It is direct to see that  $E_1(z) = \exp(z)$ ,  $E_2(z) = \cos z$ .

Following a similar procedure to study a random process with memory, one obtains the fractional evolution equation

$$\partial^{\alpha+1} P(x,t)/\partial t^{\alpha+1} = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n}/n! \partial^{n} [K_{n}(x)p(x,t)]/\partial x^{n},$$
  

$$0 < \alpha < 1,$$

0932-0784 / 08 / 0300-0152 \$ 06.00 © 2008 Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen · http://znaturforsch.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Mathematics Department, Faculty of Education, Al-Arish, Egypt

where P(x,t) is a measure of the probability to find a particle at time t at position x. For the case of fractional diffusion equation the results are

$$\begin{split} &\partial^{\alpha+1}P(x,t)/\partial t^{\alpha+1} = D\partial^2P(x,t)/\partial x^2, \\ &P(x,0) = \delta(x), \\ &\partial P(x,0)/\partial t = 0 \Rightarrow \\ &P = \left(1/2\sqrt{D}t^{\beta}\right)M\left(|x|/\sqrt{D}t^{\beta};\beta\right), \\ &\beta = (\alpha+1)/2, \\ &M(z;\beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[(-1)^n z^n/\left\{n^1\Gamma(-\beta n + 1 - \beta)\right\}\right]. \end{split}$$

For the case of no memory  $\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow M(z; 1/2) = \exp(-z^2/4)$ .

Moreover it has been proved that fractional order systems are relevant to fractal systems and systems with power law correlations [3]. Thus fractional equations naturally represent systems with memory and fractal systems; consequently fractional order equations are relevant to CAS since memory and fractals are abundant in CAS systems.

## 2. Fractional Order Calculus in Non-Cooperative Games

Game theory [4] is the study of the ways in which strategic interactions among rational players produce outcomes (profits) with respect to the preferences of the players. Each player in a game faces a choice among two or more possible strategies. A strategy is a predetermined program of play that tells the player what actions to take in response to every possible strategy other players may use.

A game is non-cooperative if the players compete with each other; hence they do not form collusions. A basic property of the game theory is that one's payoff depends on the others' decisions as well as his.

The mathematical framework of the game theory was initiated by von Neumann and Morgenstern in 1944. Also they had suggested the max-min solution for zero-sum games and stability concepts for non-cooperative games. To quantify this concept one may use the replicator equation [4] which intuitively means that the rate of change of the fraction of players adopting strategy *i* is proportional to the difference between their payoff and the average payoff of the population,

i.e.

$$dx_i/dt = x_i \left[ (\prod x)_i - x \prod x \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
  
$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1, \quad 1 \ge x_i \ge 0,$$

where  $\prod$  is the payoff matrix.

Now since we have shown that fractional order equations are more suitable to model CAS, we study some examples of fractional order game theory using the fractional order replicator equation

$$D^{\alpha}x_i(t) = x_i \left[ (\prod x)_i - x \prod x \right], \quad 1 \ge \alpha \ge 0.$$
 (2)

To study the stability of the equilibrium solutions of (2) we use the result of Matignon [5] which has been generalized in [6] that the equilibrium solutions of the system (2) are locally asymptotically stable if all the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of the characteristic roots satisfy

$$|\arg(\lambda)| > \alpha \pi/2.$$
 (3)

Obviously this condition is a relaxation of the Routh-Hurwitz conditions which correspond to  $\alpha = 1$ . Moreover if the system is 1-dimensional then the stability condition becomes  $\lambda < 0$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in (0,1]$ . Hence we have:

Proposition 1: The stability of equilibrium solutions for all non-cooperative symmetric games with only two strategies allowed is the same,  $\forall \alpha \in (0,1]$ .

Now we present an example where a stable equilibrium solution does exist for  $1 > \alpha > 0$  but not for  $\alpha = 1$ . Consider the rock-scissors-paper game with

the payoff matrix 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
, where strategy one

is rock, strategy two is scissors and strategy three is paper. It is known that its integer order model does not have a stable equilibrium. Let x, y, 1-x-y be the fractions of rock, scissors, and paper adopters; hence the fractional order replicator equation for this game is

$$D^{\alpha}x = x(x+2y-1), \quad D^{\alpha}y = y(1-2x-y).$$
 (4)

It is direct to see that there is an internal solution (1/3, 1/3, 1/3), and that it is locally asymptotically stable (3), if

$$\tan^{1}(2) > \alpha \pi/2, \quad .7 > \alpha > 0.$$
 (5)

Proposition 2: The internal solution (1/3, 1/3, 1/3) for the fractional order rock-scissors-paper game (4) is locally asymptotically stable, if (5) is satisfied.

Some asymmetric games (where the set of strategies and payoff matrix of some players  $\underline{x}$  differ from those of another set of players  $\underline{y}$  [4], e.g. if the players are males and females) are other examples where the dynamics of the equilibrium solutions of the fractional order system significantly differ from their integer order counterpart. Consider the asymmetric game with the payoff matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c \\ d & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where a, b, c, d are positive constants. Then the fractional order replicator equations for this game become

$$D^{\alpha}x = x(1-x)[(a+b)y-b],$$
  

$$D^{\alpha}y = y(1-y)[-(c+d)x+d],$$
(7)

where *x*, *y* are the fraction of adopters of the first strategy in both populations. This system admits an internal equilibrium solution:

$$x = d/(c+d), \quad y = b/(a+b).$$
 (8)

- [1] J. B. Smith, CS 0303020, 2003.
- [2] A. A. Stanislavsky, Phys. Rev. E 61, 4752 (2000).
- [3] A. Rocco and B. J. West, Physica A 265, 535 (1999).
- [4] J. Hofbauer and K. Sigmund, Evolutionary Games and Population Dynamics, Cambridge University Press, UK 1998.
- [5] D. Matignon, Stability results for fractional differen-

Direct stability analysis shows that the characteristic equation (7) at the internal equilibrium solution (8) is

$$\lambda^2 + abcd/[(a+b)(c+d)] = 0, (9)$$

i. e. both roots are purely imaginary. Using (3) one observes that the internal equilibrium solution is locally asymptotically stable, if  $1 > \alpha > 0$ .

## 3. Conclusions

CAS are abundant in nature. Almost all biological, economic and social systems are CAS. Game theory is a useful tool in studying such systems. We have shown that fractional order systems are suitable to model CAS. Therefore fractional order game dynamics should be studied. This work is a first step in this direction. It is shown that in some cases, e.g. rockscissors-paper and asymmetric games, the results of the fractional order case differ significantly from its integer order counterpart.

Acknowledgement

We thank the referee for his comments.

- tial equations with applications to control processing, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Computational Engineering in Systems and Application, Vol. 2, Lille, France, July 1996, p. 963.
- [6] E. Ahmed, A.M.A. El-Sayed, and H.A.A. El-Saka, Phys. Lett. A 358, 1 (2006).